

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22113-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,721	08/18/2003	Jonathan L. Vennerstrom	P04559US01	4380
22885	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			TRINH, BA K	
SUITE 3200	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES	S, IA 50309-2721		1625	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,721	VENNERSTRON	IET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ba K. Trinh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ddress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DI V IS SET TO EVE	DE 02 MONTH(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF starts SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specifies above it less than thirty (30) days, it follows that the start of the s	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, in the statutory mining the will apply and will expire Statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. \$ 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>8/18/2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ [*]	This action is non-fina	l.				
 Since this application is in condition for allo 			ne merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	d/or election required	nont				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	ia/or election requires	ient.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified cop	pies not received.				
â						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE)	, <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	FO 452)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08182003</u> .	6) 🗔		10-132)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 03222004			

Application/Control Number: 10/642,721

Art Unit: 1625

, ****.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-56 are pending.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,13, 24,32,33,34,35-39,42,46,47,49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 13, 24, 32, 33 and 34, the phrase " may be " renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 35-39,42,46,47 and 49, the term "trioxolane" is not clearly defined and/or specified; the position on the trioxolane ring where the functional group is attached is not specified and the reagent is also not known. This render the claims vague and indefinite.

Claims 3 and 5 recite the limitation "Y" in line 1 of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 55 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 5.

When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,721

Art Unit: 1625

٦٠٠٠,

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There are numerous kinds and forms of cancer and the treatments are unique and unpredictable. The specification fails to provide adequate teachings and/or experimental data to show that the current compounds possess the anti-cancer activities. There is no showing that which compound can treat which type of cancer.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,486,199 B1 (Vennerstrom et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Application/Control Number: 10/642,721

Art Unit: 1625

each other because they embrace overlapped subject matter and/or obvious variants; a spiro and dispiro 1.2.4-trioxolane and possess same utilities.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba K. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0695. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba K. Trinh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

TRINH/BKT

March 22,2004